1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7 8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
9	DONALD ISAAC JOHNSON,	
10	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C15-5488 RJB-KLS
11	v.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE
12	STATE OF WASHINGTON,	IMPOSED
13	Defendant.	
		vil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983
14		
13 14 15 16	Plaintiff Donald Isaac Johnson filed this ci	rma pauperis remains pending. Dkt. 1. Mr.
14 15 16	Plaintiff Donald Isaac Johnson filed this ci pro se. Dkt. 1-1. His application to proceed in for	rma pauperis remains pending. Dkt. 1. Mr. ad convicted by the State of Washington in
14 15 16 17	Plaintiff Donald Isaac Johnson filed this ci pro se. Dkt. 1-1. His application to proceed in for Johnson alleges that he was unlawfully charged an	rma pauperis remains pending. Dkt. 1. Mr. ad convicted by the State of Washington in 35-2. Mr. Johnson acknowledges that a habeas
14 15 16 17	Plaintiff Donald Isaac Johnson filed this ci pro se. Dkt. 1-1. His application to proceed in for Johnson alleges that he was unlawfully charged an Pierce County Superior Court Case No. 12-1-0123	rma pauperis remains pending. Dkt. 1. Mr. ad convicted by the State of Washington in 35-2. Mr. Johnson acknowledges that a habeas g in <i>Johnson v. Gilbert</i> , Case No. 15-5435
14 15 16 17 18	Plaintiff Donald Isaac Johnson filed this ci pro se. Dkt. 1-1. His application to proceed in for Johnson alleges that he was unlawfully charged an Pierce County Superior Court Case No. 12-1-0123 case regarding this conviction is presently pending	rma pauperis remains pending. Dkt. 1. Mr. ad convicted by the State of Washington in 35-2. Mr. Johnson acknowledges that a habeas g in <i>Johnson v. Gilbert</i> , Case No. 15-5435 that in this action, he is suing the State of
114 115 116 117 118 119 220	Plaintiff Donald Isaac Johnson filed this ci pro se. Dkt. 1-1. His application to proceed in for Johnson alleges that he was unlawfully charged an Pierce County Superior Court Case No. 12-1-0123 case regarding this conviction is presently pending RBL-KLS. Dkt. 1-1, at 3. He contends, however,	rma pauperis remains pending. Dkt. 1. Mr. ad convicted by the State of Washington in 35-2. Mr. Johnson acknowledges that a habeas in Johnson v. Gilbert, Case No. 15-5435 that in this action, he is suing the State of sing from that conviction. He seeks "Four
14 15 16	Plaintiff Donald Isaac Johnson filed this ci pro se. Dkt. 1-1. His application to proceed in for Johnson alleges that he was unlawfully charged an Pierce County Superior Court Case No. 12-1-0123 case regarding this conviction is presently pending RBL-KLS. Dkt. 1-1, at 3. He contends, however, Washington for the unlawful imprisonment stemm	rma pauperis remains pending. Dkt. 1. Mr. ad convicted by the State of Washington in 15-2. Mr. Johnson acknowledges that a habeas in Johnson v. Gilbert, Case No. 15-5435 that in this action, he is suing the State of the seeks "Four the seeks". Id., at 5. Mr. Johnson is ordered to show
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Plaintiff Donald Isaac Johnson filed this cipro se. Dkt. 1-1. His application to proceed in for Johnson alleges that he was unlawfully charged and Pierce County Superior Court Case No. 12-1-0123 case regarding this conviction is presently pending RBL-KLS. Dkt. 1-1, at 3. He contends, however, Washington for the unlawful imprisonment stemm Hundred and Fifty Gazillion Dollars and One Center of the Court Case No. 12-1-0123 case regarding this conviction is presently pending RBL-KLS. Dkt. 1-1, at 3. He contends, however, Washington for the unlawful imprisonment stemm	rma pauperis remains pending. Dkt. 1. Mr. ad convicted by the State of Washington in 15-2. Mr. Johnson acknowledges that a habeas in Johnson v. Gilbert, Case No. 15-5435 that in this action, he is suing the State of the seeks "Four the seeks". Id., at 5. Mr. Johnson is ordered to show

1	Mr. Johnson has been repeatedly warned that he cannot proceed on a civil rights action
2	until and unless his conviction is overturned through habeas corpus. See <i>Heck v. Humphrey</i> , 512
3	U.S. 477, 487 (1994). A review of Mr. Johnson's litigation history shows that he obtained in
4	forma pauperis status and raised this issue on three previous occasions in: (1) Johnson v.
5	Gildehaus, Case No. 12-5818 RBL-KLS; (2) Johnson v. State of Washington, Case No. 12-5839
6	BHS-KLS; and (3) Johnson v. State of Washington, Case No. 12-5864 RBL-JRC. In Johnson v.
7	Monroe Correctional Complex, Case No. 13-5167 RBL-JRC, the Court denied Mr. Johnson's
8	application to proceed in forma pauperis, found his repetitive filings on this issue vexatious and
9	malicious, and warned Mr. Johnson that further improper filings could result in sanctions
10	(including monetary fines, dismissal of actions, and possibly a bar order preventing further
11	filings). Dkt. 3 in Case No. 13-5167 RBL-JRC. The Court dismissed the action without
12	prejudice so that the habeas petition pending at that time (Johnson v. Monroe Correctional
13	Complex, Case No. 13-5008 RBL-JRC) could proceed. <i>Id</i> . That habeas petition was dismissed
14	for lack of personal jurisdiction. Dkt. 16 in Case No. 13-5008 RBL-JRC.
15	Mr. Johnson filed a second petition for writ of habeas corpus in June 2016. The Court
16	granted his application to proceed in forma pauperis and directed that the petition be served.
17	Dkts. 4 and 7 in <i>Johnson v. Gilbert</i> , Case No. 15-5435 RBL-KLS. The petition remains pending.
18	On July 10, 2015, Mr. Johnson filed this action and an identical action in <i>Johnson v. State</i>
19	of Washington, Case No. 15-5483 BHS-DWC. As he has been repeatedly advised, Mr. Johnson
20	cannot bring a § 1983 action for damages related to his imprisonment unless and until his state
21	court judgment has been invalidated, expunged, or otherwise impugned. Heck v. Humphrey, 512
22	U.S. 477, 486-87 (1994). This has not occurred. Mr. Johnson must await the outcome of his
23	federal habeas proceeding which has not yet been adjudicated by this Court.
24	

1 Because he has been repeatedly advised and was explicitly warned that further filings of this nature could result in sanctions including monetary fines, dismissal of actions, and possibly a bar order preventing further filings, Mr. Johnson is ordered to show cause why the Court should not impose sanctions in this case. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**: Mr. Johnson shall show cause by August 24, 2015 why sanctions should not be (1) imposed in this case. If he fails to respond or to adequately address the issues raised herein, the Court will recommend denial of his application to proceed in forma pauperis, dismissal of this action as frivolous, and impose a monetary sanction in the amount of \$500.00 against Mr. Johnson. The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to Plaintiff and to Magistrate Judge (2) David W. Christel (Re: Case No. 15-5483 BHS-DWC). **DATED** this 3rd day of August, 2015. United States Magistrate Judge

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24